

COMP90042 Web Search & Text Analysis

Workshop Week 5

Zenan Zhai

April 2, 2019

University of Melbourne

- Text Classification
 - Definition
 - Tasks
 - Methods
- N-gram Language Model
 - Definition
 - Smoothing
 - Laplacian
 - Back-off & Interpolation
 - Kneser-Ney
 - Evaluation
- Exercise - Notebook

Supervised Learning Task

Input :

- Document: d
- Labels: $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$

Output :

- Prediction : \hat{C}

Discussion:

- How to convert document d to a feature vector?

- Topic classification
- Sentiment analysis
- Authorship attribution
- Native language identification
- Fact checking

Select features suitable for each task.

- Text Classification
 - Definition
 - Tasks
 - Methods
- N-gram Language Model
 - Definition
 - Smoothing
 - Laplacian
 - Back-off & Interpolation
 - Kneser-Ney
 - Evaluation
- Exercise - Notebook

Definition

Modeling $P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i)$ in a Language L

Intuitively:

- $P(W) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_2, w_1)\dots P(w_n|w_{n-1}\dots w_1)$

Markov Assumption:

- $P(w_i)$ only depends on previous n words
- $n = 2, P(S) = \prod_{w_i \in S} P(w_i|w_{i-1}, w_{i-2})$

Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE).

Coin toss of n times:

$$P(\text{face}) = \frac{c(\text{face})}{n}$$

2-gram LM:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_i, w_{i-1})}{c(w_{i-1})}$$

How to model sequence not exists in the training material?

Simply add value k :

$$P_{addk}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_i, w_{i-1}) + k}{c(w_{i-1}) + k|V|}$$

Problem:

- k needs to be tuned
- $|V|$ can grow very fast.

Smoothing - Back-off & interpolation

Both back-off and interpolation uses information from lower-order models.

Back-off:

- Use $n - 1$ -gram probability iff n -gram count is zero.

$$P_{backoff}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{c(w_i, w_{i-1})}{c(w_{i-1})}, & \text{if } c(w_i, w_{i-1}) > 0 \\ \alpha(w_{i-1})c(w_i), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Interpolation:

- Incorporate lower-order information by factor λ s.
- $P_{interpolation}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \lambda(w_i, w_{i-1})P(w_i|w_{i-1}) + (1 - \lambda(w_{i-1}))P(w_i)$

Question: Disadvantage of this approach?

$$P_{KN}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\max(0, c(w_i, w_{i-1}) - d)}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P_{continuation}(w_i)$$

- Why do we discount d ?
- What does continuation means ?

Absolute discounting

$$P_{absDiscount}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_i, w_{i-1}) - d}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P(w_i)$$
$$\lambda(w_{i-1}) = \frac{d}{c(w_{i-1})}$$

Intuition:

- Interpolation by using $\frac{d}{c(w_{i-1})}$ from lower-order model.
- Lower impact on n -gram with higher count.

Bigram count in training set	Bigram count in heldout set
0	0.0000270
1	0.448
2	1.25
3	2.24
4	3.23
5	4.21
6	5.23
7	6.21
8	7.21
9	8.26

- Record all bi-gram with count in $[0, 9]$ in training set.
- Calculate Avg. count of these bi-grams in the held-out set.
- Difference for bi-grams with count in $[2, 9]$ are roughly the same.

(Church and Gale, 1991)

Continuation counts

$$P_{\text{continuation}} = \frac{|\{w_{i-1} : c(w_i, w_{i-1})\}|}{\sum_{w'_i} |\{w_{i-1} : c(w'_i, w_{i-1})\}|}$$

Intuition:

- Interpolation incorporates prob. from lower-order models.
- Lower-order probs. without context can be unreliable.

Examples: If 1-gram has high count, but only appears as bi-grams.

- San Francisco
- New Zealand

Solution:

- Use count of bi-gram **where words appear in the context** instead.
- Normalise by counts of **all possible contexts**.

Continuation counts

Example I:

- $w = \text{food}$, valid context: Asian food, Indian food, Mexican food.
- Calculate continuation counts for *food* in *Asian food*

$$P_{\text{continuation}}(\text{food}) = \frac{c(\text{Asian}, \text{food})}{c(\text{Asian}, \text{food}) + c(\text{Indian}, \text{food}) + c(\text{Mexican}, \text{food})}$$

Example II:

- Now consider continuation counts for *Zealand* in *New Zealand*.
- Why $P_{\text{continuation}}(\text{Zealand}) = 1$?

$$P_{KN}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\max(0, c(w_i, w_{i-1}) - d)}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P_{continuation}(w_i)$$

- Use absolute discounting as interpolation.
- Use continuation counting for lower-order probs.

Question:

- Why not use continuation count for the highest order prob. ?

Recall the objective of language model:

- Modeling probability for an arbitrary sequence of m words.

Evaluate based on probability of all sequences in test set

$$PP(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_m) = \sqrt[m]{\frac{1}{P(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_m)}}$$

- Inverted prob. : lower perplexity \rightarrow better model
- Normalization : take m^{th} root of sequence prob. , $m = length(S)$

- Text Classification
 - Definition
 - Tasks
 - Methods
- N-gram Language Model
 - Definition
 - Smoothing
 - Laplacian
 - Back-off & Interpolation
 - Kneser-Ney
 - Evaluation
- Exercise - Notebook